



## Department of Toxic Substances Control



Linda S. Adams Acting Secretary for Environmental Protection Deborah O. Raphael, Director 9211 Oakdale Avenue Chatsworth, California 91311

Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

July 25, 2011

Mr. David Thaete Phibro-Tech, Inc. 8851 Dice Road Santa Fe Springs, California 90670

January 2011 Quarterly Sampling Groundwater Report, Phibro-Tech Inc., Santa Fe Springs, California

Dear Mr. Thaete:

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) has reviewed *January 2011* Quarterly Sampling Groundwater Report, Phibro-Tech Inc., Santa Fe Springs, California (Report), dated May 18, 2011. Iris Environmental prepared the Report on behalf of Phibro-Tech, Inc. (PTI). The Report provides a description of the January 2011 quarterly groundwater monitoring activities and physical and chemical data of groundwater sampled from the facility groundwater monitoring well network. The Report also includes a discussion groundwater elevation, movement and chemistry trends during the year and a summary of the soil vapor extraction system operation.

Based on a review of the Report, DTSC has the following comments:

- Please provide DTSC with at least 10 business days notice prior to all field work.
- Please include a discussion on the source of the chemicals of concern around Pond
  1 in the future groundwater monitoring reports.
- PTI should continue to include MW-04 and MW-09 in the quarterly groundwater monitoring program until DTSC determines that they can be abandoned and installation of appropriate replacement wells is complete.
- Please use the higher value result when duplicate samples are obtained for chemical analysis.

Mr. David Thaete July 25, 2011 Page 2

For more details, please find the enclosed DTSC comments regarding the document. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Steve McArdle, Project Manager, at (818) 717-6564 (e-mail address: smcardle@dtsc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

Steve McArdle, C.E.G.

Project Manager

Brownsfield and Environmental

Restoration Program

Ray Grutzmacher, R.G. Engineering Geologist Geologic Service Unit

#### **Enclosures:**

(1) Memorandum Geologist Service Unit - Chatsworth Office July 25, 2011

CC: Mr. Christopher S. Alger, PG, CEG, CHG Iris Environmental 1438 Webster Street, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612

> Mr. Zachary R. Walton, Attorney at Law SSL Law Firm, LLP 575 Market Street, Suite 2700 San Francisco, California 94105





Linda S. Adams Acting Secretary for Environmental Protection

# Department of Toxic Substances Control



Edmund G. Brown Jr. Governor

Deborah O. Raphael, Director 9211 Oakdale Avenue Chatsworth, California 91311

#### MEMORANDUM

TO

Stephen McArdle

Project Manager

Brownfields and Environmental Restoration Program - Chatsworth

FROM:

Raymond R. Grutzmacher, PG

**Engineering Geologist** 

Office of Geology - Chatsworth

CONCUR :

Craig Christmann, PG

Senior Engineering Geologist Office of Geology - Chatsworth

DATE:

July 25, 2011

SUBJECT:

January 2011 Quarterly Sampling Groundwater Report

Phibro-Tech, Inc.

Santa Fe Springs, California 90670

Dated May 18, 2011

PCA: 25040

Site Code 300142-33

MPC: 206

Log No.: 1030273

Geological Service Unit (GSU) staff was requested to review the above referenced document and provide comments on the content and conclusions presented therein. The report was prepared for Phibro-Tech, Inc. (PTI) by Iris Environmental (Iris). The report discusses groundwater monitoring activities associated with the collection and analyses of groundwater samples from monitoring wells on and near the subject property located at 8851 Dice Road, Santa Fe Springs, CA (Site).

Based on this review of the above referenced groundwater monitoring report, the GSU has the following comments. Questions regarding this memo should be directed to Ray Grutzmacher at (818) 717-6621.

Mr. Stephen McArdle July 25, 2011 Page 2 of 2

#### General Comment:

1. The GSU recommends that the facility give notification to the DTSC of planned field work a minimum of 10 business days ahead of all field work, including quarterly groundwater sampling, so that arrangements can be made for field oversight.

### Specific Comments:

- 1. Page 1-1, Section 1.2. Iris states that dissolved metals, non-chlorinated aromatic volatile organic compounds and chlorinated VOCs have historically been detected in legacy wells located in the vicinity of Pond 1. While this statement is true, Iris should elaborate on this and include discussion that the predominant source of these COCs is believed to be from the chromic acid UST removed in approximately 1981 and located northeast of Pond 1.
- 2. Page 1-1, Section 1.2. Iris states that improper construction of Pond 1 compliance wells MW-04 and MW-09 contributed to the elevated hexavalent chromium detections. While GSU agrees that the wells were improperly constructed and may be a contributing factor regarding elevated hexavalent chromium in groundwater, it is our opinion that other factors may have contributed to the hexavalent chromium leaching through the unnamed aquitard and into the Hollydale aquifer. Since these wells have been determined to be compliance related wells with specific regulatory restrictions, PTI should continue to include these wells in their quarterly monitoring program until appropriate replacement wells are installed and DTSC determines that they can be abandoned.
- 3. Page 6-3, Section 6.3. Iris states that hexavalent chromium concentrations have decreased from a high of 120 mg/L in July 1989; however, in January 1999 hexavalent chromium was reported at a concentration of 124 mg/L in monitoring well MW-04 in a duplicate sample. When duplicate samples are obtained for chemical analysis, unless a demonstrable problem exists with the laboratory analysis that causes the duplicate value to be suspect, the higher of the two values should be used for reporting purposes and also in the trend analysis (Figure 12).